15 **December 1952**

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Inspection and Review

SUBJECT : LCPROWL Apparat

REFERENCE: Memorandum on Same Subject, dated 10 December 1952

The following information, as reflected in our files, is transmitted in accordance with your requests

a. Re paragraph 1.a. of reference, []
was spotted by either [] or [] while
visiting the CIA supply depot where [] was employed.
As far as we can determine, [] was interviewed by
[], [] and [] before he was transferred
to staff agent status with KE.

b. Re paragraph 1.b., in 1950 -☐ (then Chief, I's qualifications with two representa-EE-3) discussed -I does not definitely recall tives of FE Division. [the identities of these representatives but believes they J and either □ J or L J Both advised him that = had been placed in a sensitive according to plans. and the other CIA field personnel in this operation had generally been given assignments that could not be fulfilled. that affected his military cover installation but otherwise performed satisfactorily. Neither FE Division representative gave \subset \supset an adverse recommendation and both expressed belief that he deserved another assignment. The written j's qualifications are cited in our reports concerning memoranda to Deputy Director (Plans) dated 16 October and 3 December 1952, copies of which have been made available to you.

c. Re paragraph 1.c., according to available records,

was in charge of operational matters relating
to the LCPROWL Apparat case from August 1950 to December 1950.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3828 NAZIWAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007

SECRET

100-6-26-2-202

J was recruited during this period. J was succeeded by J during December 1950 and then by J, who was the responsible officer in February 1951 when J was sent to Germany. J is presently with the Austrian Mission, J is assigned to the Frankfurt FP substation and J to the J Mission in J. d. Re paragraph 2.a., headquarters case officers for the ICPROML Apparat are listed below. Exact dates are not available as the incoming case officer usually served jointly with the outgoing case officer during a take—over period.			
1)	F	☐ from January 1952 to the present.	
2)	I.	☐ from October 1951 to January 1952.	
	assessment of the Apparat project during November-December 1951. As a result of this survey, a policy dispatch was sent to the German Mission on 19 December 1951, advising that the project should be severely curtailed pending a field security assessment and pending coordination of staybehind activities with the West German authorities. The field then made its own assessment and concluded that the project should be terminated.		
3)	1951.	I from January 1951 to September	
4)	<i>C</i>	☐ from December 1950 to January 1951.	
5)	<u></u>	I from August 1950 to December 1950.	
e. Re paragraph 2.b., during the latter part of 1950 plans and instructions for development of the Apparat were transmitted to the principal agent, Peters, through the BDJ Chairman, Lueth, by the LCPROWL case officers, \(\subseteq \subseteq			

SECRET

staybehind and EE potential in Germany, met P/A Peters on 11 December 1950 and briefed Peters on the first assignment for

the Apparat, which involved collection of certain information on Soviet Zone airfields.

Was assigned as full time case

officer upon arrival in Frankfurt about 8 February 1951 and

- f. Re paragraph 2.c., the EE/OPC desk chiefs for Germany during these periods were:

 - 2) Chief, EE-3 from March 1951 to January 1952.
 - 3) C = 3, Chief, EE-3 from 11 January 1952 to 1 May 1952.
 - 4) \Box \Box , Chief, EE/PP/G from 1 May 1952 to the present.

ニ

Chief, Eastern European Division